

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,259	12/15/2003	Michael L. Kazar	SPIN-1 CONT	4663
7590 05/30/2008 Ansel M. Schwartz			EXAMINER	
Attorney at Law			PEIKARI, BEHZAD	
Suite 304 201 N. Craig S	Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Pittsburgh, PA 15213			2189	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Application No. Applicant(s) 10/736 259 KAZAR ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit B. James Peikari 2189 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 February 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28.53 and 54 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6.16-19.25-28.53 and 54 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7-15 and 20-24 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 15 December 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/24/08.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/736,259

Art Unit: 2189

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

 The previous rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, are withdrawn due to the amendment filed February 28, 2008.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The previous rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 are withdrawn due to the amendment filed February 28, 2008.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-6, 16-19, 25-28, 53 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al., U.S. 7,069,307.

Lee et al. teach the invention in a system having a plurality of clients (e.g., 160a and 160b) using remote procedure calls (RPCs, Figure 6, step 635) to access a plurality of disk volumes (150) via a switching fabric (including at least an ethernet switch 170 and a fiber channel switch 180), wherein the RPCs include volume ID information (note.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,259

Art Unit: 2189

e.g., column 11, lines 26-31) and entry information (LUN) that is used to access a particular volume via network elements (125 and 126 and 128) that map requests based on the ID.

Lee et al. do not specifically mention priority or that higher priority request must be serviced before lower priority requests. However, access prioritization was well known in the data processing art since the early 1960s. Such prioritization was crucial to multiprocessor systems as well as single processor systems that had concurrent execution of memory requests.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that higher priority request must be serviced before lower priority requests in the Lee et al. system, since the Lee et al. system was specifically designed for handling requests from multiple clients and access prioritization would have ensured that the most important requests (or requests from the most important clients) were serviced first.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed with the amendment of February 28, 2008 have been fully considered but moot in view of the withdrawal of the previous grounds of rejection.

It is noted that with regard to the switch fabric, applicant's remarks are not commensurate in scope with the claimed invention. The claims do not specify any particular switch structure or any particular number of switches in the claimed switch fabric.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,259 Page 4

Art Unit: 2189

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 7 – 15 and are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. Claims 20 – 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Peikari whose telephone number is (571) 272-4185. The examiner is generally available between 7:00 am and 7:30 pm, EST, Monday through Wednesday, and between 5:30 am and 4:00 pm on Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Reginald Bragdon, can be reached at (571) 272-4204. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Application/Control Number: 10/736,259 Page 5

Art Unit: 2189

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/B. James Peikari/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2189 6/1/2008